December 25, 2019

# Divergence Process of *Sophiodela* Tiger Beetles (Coleoptera, Cicindelidae) in Japan Inferred from Molecular Phylogeny

Kaoru TSUJI<sup>1)</sup>, Teiji SOTA<sup>2)</sup> and Michio HORI<sup>3)</sup>

<sup>1)</sup>Center for Ecological Research, Kyoto University, 2-Hirano, Otsu, Shiga, 520–2113 Japan E-mail: tsuji@ecology.kyoto-u.ac.jp

<sup>2)</sup>Department of Zoology, Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University, Sakyo, Kyoto, 606–8502 Japan <sup>3)</sup>Kyoto University, Yoshida-Honmachi, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto, 606–8501 Japan

**Abstract** Three *Sophiodela* tiger beetle species (Coleoptera, Cicindelidae) are distributed in Japan. To infer their divergence process, we examined mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) sequences of *Sophiodela* populations in Japan and mainland East Asia. Our divergence time estimation suggests that *S. ferriei* (FLEUTIAUX, 1894) in the Amami Islands diverged 2.2 million years ago (mya) from a common ancestor of *S. chinensis* (DEGEER, 1774) in China and Korea, and of *S. japonica* (THUNBERG, 1781) and *S. okinawana* (NAKANE, 1957) in Japan. The divergence time of the latter two groups was estimated at 1.1 mya during the late Early Pleistocene. The ancestor of *S. japonica* and *S. okinawana* derived from *S. chinensis* may have expanded its range over the ancient Japanese archipelago from Honshu to Okinawajima among the Ryukyu Islands. Its immigration to Okinawajima during the Early Pleistocene may have occurred via a land bridge between the present locations of the Tokara Islands (Tokara Ridge) and Okinawajima, without passing the Amami Islands (southeast of the land bridge) and contacting with *S. ferriei*. This land bridge disappeared following the development of the Okinawa Trough in the Middle Pleistocene, resulting in complete isolation of the population (now *S. okinawana*) on Okinawajima from the population on the main islands of Japan (now *S. japonica*) around 0.9 mya.

Key words: Divergence time, Pleistocene, Tokara Ridge, East Asia, Mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI).

## Introduction

Sophiodela was proposed as a subgenus of Cicindela by NAKANE (1955), who designated Cicindela japonica THUNBERG, 1781 as the type species, which had been treated as a subspecies of C. chinensis DEGEER, 1774 by HORN (1915). RIVALIER (1961) proposed another subgenus, Sericina, comprising C. chinensis, C. ferriei FLEUTIAUX, 1894, and C. cyanea FABRICIUS, 1787, and treated 'japonica'as a subspecies of C. chinensis. WIESNER (1992) correctly arranged Sericina as a junior synonym of Sophiodela, but included C. cyanea and counted 'japonica' as a subspecies of C. chinensis, following RIVALIER (1961). Although this classification has been widely accepted, our recent molecular phylogenetic study of Sophiodela and related groups (TSUJI et al., 2016) showed that Sophiodela is sister to the genus Cosmodela, and not directly related to the Cicindela genus members evaluated (i.e., subgenera Cicindela, Pachydela, and Tribonia). Recently, FUKUDA et al. (2019) proposed the treatment of Sophiodela as a genus based on the characteristic structure of the internal sac of the male genitalia. FUKUDA et al. (2019) recognized four species from East Asia (S. chinensis, S. ferriei, S. japonica, and S. okinawana (NAKANE, 1957)) as members of Sophiodela, but excluded C. cyanea from the Indian subcontinent, and moved it to the Cicindela subgenus Pancallia. Thus, the genus Sophiodela is endemic to East Asia. In addition to the rearrangement of the Sophiodela species, new insights for Sophiodela subspecies are proposed (FUKUDA et al., 2015; TSUJI et al., 2016; FUKUDA et al., 2019).

*Sophiodela ferriei* populations in Amamioshima and Tokunoshima have been treated as subspecies *S. f. ferriei* and *S. f. indigonacea* (WIESTER, 1992), but FUKUDA *et al.* (2015) synonymized these based on genital characters. Similarly, the uncertain status for two subspecies of *S. chinensis* and *S. c. chinensis* from China and *S. c. flammifera* from Korea was reported (TSUJI *et al.*, 2016; FUKUDA *et al.*, 2019).

Of the four species of Sophiodela, only one species, S. chinensis, occurs in mainland East Asia (China and Korea), whereas in Japan, three species, S. japonica, S. ferriei, and S. okinawana occur, and in detail, they are observed in the main islands of Japan (Kyushu, Shikoku, and Honshu), the Amami Islands, and the Okinawa Islands, respectively. The diversification of Sophiodela in Japan is interesting from the perspective of historical biogeography. We previously discussed their divergence process (TSUJI et al., 2016), but not in detail, especially for S. japonica and S. okinawana. OSOZAWA et al. (2016) performed a molecular phylogenetic analysis of Sophiodela species and proposed that the divergence of three species, excluding S. ferriei, was facilitated by the synchronous isolation of Ryukyu and other Japanese islands 1.55 million years ago (mya) (OSOZAWA et al., 2012). However, they did not consider the divergence process of S. japonica and S. okinawana in detail. In this study, we conducted a phylogeographic analysis of Sophiodela species in Japan based on mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase I (COI) sequence data and inferred the colonization history of Sophiodela species in Japan. Although our study is similar to that by OSOZAWA et al. (2016), we used different approach to estimate divergence time with a larger sample size and provided a novel explanation for the historical process leading to the enigmatic distribution of the most ancient Sophiodela species, S. ferriei, in the Amami Islands between the ranges of recently diverged sister species, S. japonica and S. okinawana (TSUJI et al., 2016).

#### **Material and Methods**

In this study, we used four *Sophiodela* species, as well as *Cosmodela batesi* (FLEUTIAUX, 1893) as an outgroup species (Fig. 1). We performed molecular phylogenetic analysis of 262 beetles preserved in 99% ethanol, using 736-bp sequences of the mitochondrial COI gene (Table 1 & Fig. 1). DNA extraction, polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and sequencing methods were as described in TSUJI *et al.* (2016). All sequence data were deposited in the DNA Data Bank of Japan (DDBJ; see Table 1 for accession numbers). We used 89 sequences obtained in this study and 23 previously published sequences (TSUJI *et al.*, 2016) in the following analysis.

We conducted a maximum likelihood (ML) analysis with COI sequences using the RAxML version 8 software (STAMATAKIS, 2014). Based on an optimal partitioning scheme search using the PartitionFinder software (LANFER *et al.*, 2012), the first and second codon positions and the third codon position were treated as separate partitions, and the GTR+G (general time reversible model with gamma distribution for rate heterogeneity) substitution model was applied to each partition individually. We conducted a RAPID ML analysis with 1,000 bootstrap analyses. To estimate divergence time based on COI sequences, we used the BEAST2 version 2.5.0 software (BOUCKAERT *et al.*, 2014). We performed partitioned analysis as in the ML analysis, but applied the HKY+G substitution mode to the first/second codon position partition and GTR+G to the third codon position according to the PartitionFinder analysis results. The tree prior was the birth–death process model, and a strict clock model was used. For time calibration, we set the node age prior for the most recent common ancestor of *Sophiodela* (*S. chinensis* + *S. japonica* + *S. okinawana* + *S. ferriei*) as a normal distribution with a mean of 2.52 Ma and standard deviation (SD) of 0.5 mya, referring to the divergence time estimation provided by TSUI *et al.* (2016). A Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) run was conducted for 50 million generations, sampling every 5,000 generations. The results were verified using the TRACER version 1.7 software

Species	COI haplotype ID [DDBJ accession number]
Locality	(number of individuals)
<i>a</i> 1, ,	
S. chinensis	
Guizhou, China	C15 [AB822059] (1)
Henan, China	C11 [AB822043] (2), C13 [AB822044] (1), C14 [AB822053] (1)
Mt.Tianzhu, Anhui, China	C5 [LC421754] (1), C6 [LC421755] (1), C8 [AB822030] (1), C11 [AB822043*] (1)
Sichuan, China	C16 [AB822071] (1)
Gyongsangnam, Korea	C7 [AB822034*] (1), C10 [AB822031] (1)
North Chungcheong, Koera	C9 [LC421756*] (1)
Ulsan, Korea	C7 [AB822034] (9), C9 [LC421756] (1), C12 [AB822035] (1)
S farriai	
Amamioshima	F22 [L C421760] (1) F26 [L C421763] (1) F27 [L C421764] (2) F30 [L C421767] (1) F31
Amannosinina	[L CA21769] (1), [20] [EC+21705] (1), [27] [EC+21704] (2), [100] [EC+21707] (1), [101] [101] [102] [
	[AD920051](1), F32 [AD922030](3), F30 [EC421771](1), F37 [EC421772](1), F38
T-loon - him -	$\begin{bmatrix} AB622031 \\ (1), F39 \\ [LC421773 \\ (1), F42 \\ [LC421770 \\ (1), F45 \\ [LC421773 \\ (1), F45 \\ (1), F45 \\ [LC421773 \\ (1), F45 \\ (1), F45 \\ [LC421773 \\ (1), F45 \\ $
Tokunosnima	$F_{23}$ [LC421/01] (1), $F_{24}$ [AB822039] (3), $F_{23}$ [LC421/02] (10), $F_{28}$ [LC421/03] (1), $F_{29}$
	$[LC421/00]$ (2), F31 $[LC421/08^{+}]$ (1), F32 $[AB822040]$ (1), F33 $[LC421/09]$ (1), F34
	$[AB\delta22041]$ (1), F35 $[LC42170]$ (1), F40 $[LC42174]$ (1), F41 $[LC42175]$ (1), F44
	[LC4217/8](1)
S. japonica	
Aomori	J57 [LC421789] (1), J58 [AB822047*] (4), J73 [LC421799] (2), J74 [AB822037] (3)
Fukuoka	J48-2 [LC421782] (1)
Hiroshima	$I_{10} = [100 - $
Ishikawa	IS4 [LC421787] (1) IS8 [AB822047*] (1) I95 [LC421820] (1) I96 [AB822052] (1) I97
Ishikuwa	I CA218211 (1)
Kagoshima	[EC421621] (1) [51 [L C421784*] (1) [52 [L C421786*] (1) [55 [A D822045] (1) [70 [L C421806] (1) [80
Ragosillilla	2 [I C421704 ] (1), 355 [EC421700 ] (1), 355 [AB622045] (1), 379 [EC421000] (1), 300-
Vanagavia	2 [10421807](1), 301 [10421808](1), 394 [10421819](1) 145 [1 0421770] (5) 150 1 [1 0421805 ] 0426080] (8) 150 2 [1 0421804] (1) 150 1
Kallagawa	$\begin{bmatrix} AD 2200421 / 19 \end{bmatrix} (5), \ J50-1 \ \begin{bmatrix} LC421805, \ LC420080 \end{bmatrix} (6), \ J50-2 \ \begin{bmatrix} LC421804 \end{bmatrix} (1), \ J59-1 \end{bmatrix}$
V 1	[AB822048] (9), J59-2 [LC420081] (1), J60 [LC421790] (1), J61 [AB822029] (1)
Kochi	J/1 [AB822049*] (2), J10/ [LC421831] (2), J108 [LC421832] (1), J106 [LC421830] (1)
Kuchinoerabujima	J80-1 [LC426078] (4)
Kumamoto	J52 [LC421/85] (1), J53 [LC421/86] (1)
Kyoto	J46 [LC421780] (1), J47 [LC421781] (2), J58 [AB822047*] (1), J71[AB822049*] (1), J72
	[LC421798] (1), J77 [LC421802] (4), J78 [LC421803] (2), J76 [LC421801] (1), J93
	[LC421818*] (1)
Mie	J58 [AB822047*] (4), J90 [LC421815] (1), J91 [LC421816] (1), J92 [LC421817] (1), J93
	[LC421818] (1)
Miyazaki	J48-2 [LC421782*] (2), J51 [LC421784] (2), J53 [LC421786*] (1), J56 [LC421788] (1),
	J69 [LC421797] (1), J75 [LC421800] (1)
Nagano	J59-1 [AB822048*] (1)
Nagasaki	J48-1 [LC426079] (1), J48-2 [LC421782*] (2)
Niigata	J58 [AB822047*] (3), J87 [LC421812] (1), J88 [LC421813] (1), J89 [LC421814] (1)
Shimane	J48-2 [LC421782*] (1), J55 [AB822045*] (2), J68 [LC421796] (1), J71 [AB822049], (5),
	J79 [LC421806*] (2)
Tsushima	J49 [LC421783] (8), J62 [LC421791] (4), J63 [LC421792] (2), J64 [LC421793] (1), J65
	[LC421794] (1), J66 [LC421795] (1), J67 [AB822038] (2), J105 [LC421829] (2)
Wakayama	J58 [AB822047] (2), J77 [LC421802*] (2), J84 [LC421809] (1), J85 [LC421810] (1), J86
2	[LC421811] (1)
Yakushima	J80-2 [LC421807*] (10), J80-3 [LC426077] (1), J83 [AB822046] (1), J98 [LC421822] (2),
	J99 [LC421823] (2) J100-1 {LC426076] (1) J100-2 [LC421824] (1) J101 [LC421825]
	(1), J102 [LC421826] (1), J103 [LC421827] (1), J104 [LC421828] (1)
S. okinawana	
Okinawajima	O17 [LC421757] (1), O18 [AB822033] (10), O19 [AB822032] (1), O21 [LC421759] (1)
Ishigakijima	O19 [AB822032*] (7), O20 [LC421758] (1)
C hatesi	
C. Juicsi Tainei Taiwan	B1 [I C421750] (1) B2 [I C421751] (1)
Nantou Taiwan	$B_{1} [LC_{421750}] (1), B_{2} [LC_{421751}] (1)$ $B_{3} [LC_{421752}] (1)$
Iriomotajima	$D_{J} [LC_{421}, J_{2}] (1)$ $D_{A} [LC_{421}, J_{2}] (7)$
monotejina	PT [10721755] (7)

Table 1. Sampling sites, cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) haplotypes, and accession numbers.

Haplotypes J50-2 and J80-1 include undetermined nucleotides (N). Asterisks (\*) indicate accession numbers of identical haplotypes previously registered from other localities.

(RAMBAUT *et al.*, 2018). An age-calibrated tree was obtained after removing the initial 1,000 trees as burn-in. We constructed statistical parsimony networks (TCS networks; TEMPLETON *et al.*, 1992) for the three Japanese *Sophiodela* species using the PopART program (Population Analysis with Reticulate Trees; available at http://popart.otago.ac.nz).

# **Results and Discussion**

Haplotypes of four Sophiodela species were grouped separately from one another (Figs. 1 & 2). Sophiodela ferriei populations in Amamioshima and Tokunoshima that have previously been treated as subspecies (WIESTER, 1992, but see FUKUDA et al., 2015) were not distinguished by the COI sequences (Figs. 1 & 2), which is consistent with FUKUDA et al. (2015). Similarly, the sequences of the two subspecies of S. chinensis from China and Korea, were not discriminated (Figs. 1 & 2) as was found by TSUJI et al. (2016) (see also FUKUDA et al., 2019). The time-calibrated COI gene tree (Fig. 3) suggests that S. ferriei diverged 2.2 mya (95% highest posterior density interval [HPDI], 1.2–3.3 mya) from the common ancestor of S. chinensis, S. japonica, and S. okinawana, followed by the divergence of S. chinensis from a common ancestor of S. japonica and S. okinawana 1.1 mya (95% HPDI, 0.5-1.7 mya). Finally, S. japonica and S. okinawana diverged 0.9 mya (95% HPDI, 0.4-1.4 mya). The divergence time between S. chinensis and a common ancestor of S. japonica and S. okinawana, 1.1 mya, was more recent than the time proposed by OSOZAWA et al. (2016), who assumed that these taxa diverged 1.55 mya after the opening of straits around the Ryukyu and other Japanese Islands based on their hypothesis (OSOZAWA et al., 2012). Note that we calibrated divergence time based on the COI molecular clock (PAPADOPAULOU et al., 2010; see TSUJI et al., 2016 for details) and not geological evidence. However, our results do not contradict the proposition by OSOZAWA et al. (2016) that the divergence of the three Sophiodela species occurred after 1.55 mya.

Haplotype diversity in S. okinawana was small (5 haplotypes; nucleotide diversity,  $\pi = 0.0016$ ; Fig. 2), likely due to its small population size. The most recent common ancestor (tMRCA) of S. okinawana was estimated at 0.2 mya (95% HPDI, 0.05–0.3 mya; Fig. 3). We found as many as 68 haplotypes in S. *japonica*, which occurred in many islands over a much wider range (Fig. 2), with tMRCA of 0.5 mya (95% HPDI, 0.2–0.8 mya; Fig. 3), and higher nucleotide diversity ( $\pi = 0.011$ ) than those of S. okinawana and S. ferriei ( $\pi = 0.007$ ). Sophiodela japonica haplotypes were highly divergent among regions. Haplotypes from Yakushima (including those from Kuchinoerabujima) and Tsushima diverged 0.3 mya (95% HPDI, 0.1-0.4 mya; Fig. 3) from those of Kyushu and western Honshu (Fig. 3), and were unique to those islands, except for one haplotype shared by Yakushima and Kyushu populations (Figs. 1 & 2). In contrast, the Kyushu population shared haplotypes with Honshu and Shikoku populations in addition to unique (private) haplotypes (Figs. 1 & 2). These findings suggest that populations in Yakushima-Kuchinoerabujima and Tsushima have long been isolated, whereas those in Kyushu and western Honshu diverged recently, and gene flow may have occurred. In Honshu and Shikoku, haplotypes were largely divergent among regions (western Honshu and Shikoku, Kinki, Kanto, and northern Honshu); however, some haplotypes were shared among regions (Figs. 1 & 2), suggesting recent divergence with gene flow among regions.

The geographic distribution of the three Japanese *Sophiodela* species is enigmatic, as the ranges of sister species *S. japonica* and *S. okinawana* are intervened by the range of *S. ferriei*, which colonized Japan much earlier than the other two species. The common ancestor of *S. japonica* and *S. okinawana*, derived from *S. chinensis*, likely immigrated to Japan from South Korea over a land bridge during the Middle Pleistocene, with one population colonizing the main islands of Japan (Kyushu, Shikoku, and Honshu). Another population, the ancestral *S. okinawana*, immigrated to Okinawajima



Fig. 1. Locations of sampling sites and maximum-likelihood tree based on 736-bp sequences of the COI gene. Numbers above branches are bootstrap percentages (shown when >50%). Circles with different color patterns indicate different species and geographic regions.

#### S. japonica



Fig. 2. Statistical parsimony networks of COI haplotypes (736 bp) for each species. Each circle represents one haplotype; its size is proportional to the number of individuals with that haplotype. Small gray open circles indicate missing haplotypes, and short lines indicate the number of base differences between haplotypes. Circles with different color patterns indicate geographic regions as in Fig. 1.



Fig. 3. Time-calibrated phylogenetic tree of *Sophiodela* species resulting from BEAST analysis of COI gene sequences. Horizontal gray bars show 95% highest posterior density intervals (HPDI) among divergence time estimates. Numbers on branches represent posterior probabilities (shown when >0.50). Circles with different color patterns indicate geographic regions as in Fig. 1.

by crossing the long distance between southern Kyushu and Okinawajima. In our previous paper, we questioned how the ancestral S. okingwana could have passed through the Amami Islands, where S. ferriei must have existed, and suggested two possibilities (TSUJ et al., 2016). The first is that S. okinawana might not have reached the Amami Islands due to the lack of available land bridges, and the second is that S. okinawana might have reached the Amami Islands but did not colonize successfully due to the presence of S. ferriei via ecological processes, such as reproductive interference and resource competition (TSUJI et al., 2016). Because Sophiodela beetles primarily inhabit inland areas, we assume that they are unable to undertake colonization by long distance flights across the sea. In the present study, we propose that the ancestral S. okinawana could have colonized Okinawajima without passing through the Amami Islands, based on the paleogeographical hypothesis of KIMURA (1996, 2002), who proposed the existence of a land bridge connecting southern Kyushu and Okinawajima, via the Tokara Ridge northwest of the Amami Islands during the Early Pleistocene. During this period, the ancestral S. okinawana may have occurred widely on lands north of the Amami Islands, separated from S. ferriei. The land bridge disappeared in the Middle Pleistocene, following the development of the Okinawa Trough (KIMURA, 1996, 2002), which may have resulted in extinction of the ancestral S. okinawana in the Tokara Ridge area, where islands were small. Sophiodela species occur on Yakushima and Kuchinoerabujima, but have not been found on smaller islands, perhaps indicating that Sophiodela populations cannot survive on small islands.

Recent human activity may have disturbed the natural distribution of *Sophiodela* species. *Sophiodela okinawana* is considered to have recently colonized Ishigakijima from Okinawajima (FUKUDA, 2015; SATÔ & TAKAGI, 2006; Fig. 1). The existence of a common haplotype of *S. okinawana* from both islands supports this hypothesis (Figs. 1–3; OSOZAWA *et al.*, 2016). In addition, *Cosmodela batesi* has recently been found on Iriomotejima (HORI, 2002; SATÔ & TAKAGI, 2006; Fig. 1), although this species was considered endemic to Taiwan. We found only one haplotype from the Iriomote population, which is closely related to haplotypes from Taiwan (Figs. 1 & 2; OSOZAWA *et al.*, 2016). Low haplotype diversity in Iriomotejima suggests their recent colonization from somewhere in Taiwan. SATÔ & TAKAGI (2006) suggested that transportation development around these regions may have facilitated their immigration.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank A. SATOH for preliminary experiments; Y. KANZAKI for preparing figures; for sampling, Y. ENOKIDO, R. GOTO, Y. HASHIMOTO, M. HOSO, T. HOSOYA, T. JONO, A. KAWAKITA, T. KIYOSHI, T. KOMIYA, M. MATSUI, N. NAGATA, H. NISHI, H. SAKO, A. SATOH, E. SUMI, M. TAKAI, D. TAKAHASHI, Y. TANAKA, T. TANIGAKI, K. WATANABE, and A. YAMAGAMI. This study was supported in part by the Global COE program A06 'Formation of a Strategic Base for Biodiversity and Evolutionary Research; from Genomics to Ecosystems' from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports and Technology.

# 要 約

辻かおる・曽田貞滋・堀 道雄:日本に生息するナミハンミョウ属(鞘翅目ハンミョウ科)の分岐過程に 関する分子系統に基づく考察. ———— 日本のナミハンミョウ属 Sophiodela 3 種について,分岐過程を考察 するため,日本と東アジア大陸(中国と韓国)に生息するナミハンミョウ属のミトコンドリア COI 遺伝子を 解析した.分岐年代推定から,奄美群島に生息するアマミハンミョウはおよそ 220 万年前に大陸に広く生息 するチュウゴクナミハンミョウと日本のナミハンミョウ・オキナワハンミョウとの共通祖先から分岐したこ とが示唆された.その後,前期更新世末の110万年前ごろにチュウゴクナミハンミョウと,ナミハンミョウ およびオキナワハンミョウの共通祖先が分岐した.チュウゴクナミハンミョウから分岐したナミハンミョウ とオキナワハンミョウの共通祖先は、本州から沖縄島まで分布を拡大したと考えられる.沖縄島への移入は、 前期更新世に、現在のトカラ諸島が位置する場所と沖縄島の間に存在していた陸橋を伝って行われ、アマミ ハンミョウが生息する奄美群島は通過しなかったのではないだろうか.この陸橋は中期更新世にオキナワト ラフの発達により消失した.これによって90万年前ごろまでにオキナワハンミョウは沖縄島に隔離され、 日本本土のナミハンミョウ個体群から分岐したと考えられる.

### References

- BOUCKAERT, R., J. HELED, D. KÜHNERT, T. VAUGHAN, C.-H. WU, D. XIE, M. A. SUCHARD, A. RAMBAUT & A. J. DRUMMOND, 2014. BEAST 2: a software platform for Bayesian evolutionary analysis. *PLoS Computational Biology, Leesburg*, 10: e1003537.
- FUKUDA, Y., J. YAMASAKO, R. OGAWA & M. SAKAI, 2015. Review of the Japanese species of the genus Cicindela (Sophiodela) (Coleoptera, Carabidae, Cicindelinae) based on the characters of internal sac. Elytra, Tokyo, (n. ser.), 5: 269–280.
- FUKUDA, Y., R. OGAWA & M. HORI, 2019. Reclassification of Sophiodela and other tiger beetles (Coleoptera, Cicindelidae) based on the structure of the everted internal sac of the male genitalia. Zootaxa, Auckland, 4661: 271–308.
- HORI, S., 2002. [New record of *Cicindela aurulenta* FABRICIUS from Japan (Coleoptera, Cicindelidae).] *Gekkan-Mushi*, *Tokyo*, (379): 14–15. (In Japanese.)
- KIMURA, M., 1996. Quaternary paleogeography of the Ryukyu Arc. Journal of Geography, Tokyo, 105: 259-285.
- KIMURA, M., 2002. The formation of the Ryukyu arc and its paleogeography. Pp. 19–54. In KIMURA, M. (ed.), The Formation of the Ryukyu Arc and Migration of Biota to the Arc. 206 pp. Okinawa Times Co., Naha.
- LANFER, R., B. Calcott, S. Y. W. Ho & S. Guindon, 2012. PartitionFinder: combined selection of partitioning schemes and substitution models for phylogenetic analyses. *Molecular Biology and Evolution, Lawrence*, 29: 1695–1701.
- OSOZAWA, S., R. SHINJO, A. ARMID, Y. WATANABE, T. HORIGUCHI & J. WAKABAYASHI, 2012. Palaeogeographic reconstruction of the 1.55 Ma synchronous isolation of the Ryukyu Islands, Japan, and Taiwan and inflow of the Kuroshio warm current. *International Geology Review, Thames*, 54: 1369–1388.
- OSOZAWA, S., H. FUKUDA, H. Y. KWON & J. WAKABAYASHI, 2016. Quaternary vicariance of tiger beetle, *Cicindela chinensis*, in Ryukyu, Japan, Taiwan and Korea-China. *Entomological Research, Seoul*, 46: 122–127.
- PAPADOPOULOU, A., I. Anastasiou & A. P. Vogler, 2010. Revisiting the insect mitochondrial molecular clock: the mid-Aegean trench calibration. *Molecular Biology and Evolution, Lawrence*, 27: 1659–1672.
- RAMBAUT, A., A. J. DRUMMOND, W. XIE, G. BAELE & M. A. SUCHARD, 2018. Tracer, MCMC Trace Analysis Tool, Version v1.7.1. Available from http://github.com/beast- dev/tracer/.
- SATÓ, M. & M. TAKAGI, 2006. Cicindela chinensis okinawana (Coleoptera) collected on Ishigaki-jima, Ryukyus and its movement, with a discussion on disturbance of the ecosystem. Coleopterists' News, Tokyo, (154): 17–18. (In Japanese.)
- STAMATAKIS, A., 2014. RAXML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics, Leesburg*, 30: 1312–1313.
- TEMPLETON, A. R., K. A. CRANDALL & C. F. SING, 1992. A cladistic analysis of phenotypic associations with haplotypes inferred from restriction endonuclease mapping and DNA sequence data. III. Cladogram estimation. *Genetics*, *Rockville*, 132: 619–633.
- TSUJI, K., M. HORI, M. H. PHYU, H. LIANG & T. SOTA, 2016. Colorful patterns indicate common ancestry in diverged tiger beetle taxa: Molecular phylogeny, biogeography, and evolution of elytral coloration of the genus *Cicindela* subgenus *Sophiodela* and its allies. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, Amsterdam*, 95: 1–10.
- WIESNER, J., 1992. Checklist of the tiger beetles of the world. 364 pp. Verlag Erna Bauer, Keltern.

Manuscript received 15 August 2019; revised and accepted 8 October 2019.